

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,826	06/01/2004		Tishu Cai	38-21(52501)B	3825	
27161	7590	09/07/2006		EXAMINER		
MONSAN'			ZHENG, LI			
	800 N. LINDBERGH BLVD. ATTENTION: GAIL P. WUELLNER, IP PARALEGAL, (E2NA)				PAPER NUMBER	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63167				1638		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>			
	10/709,826	CAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Li Zheng	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version or period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this common that the mailing date of this common that the common t				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	pril 2005					
<u></u>	action is non-final.					
<u>, =</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ř					
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		. P. P. Al				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			Store			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	received in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received				
occ the attached detailed office action for a fict	or the defined copies has	rodivou.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:		•			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims1-28, drawn to a method for producing a transformed cereal plant or maize, classified in class 435, subclass469, for example.
- II. Claim 29, drawn to a method for increasing the transformation efficiency of a cereal transformation, classified in class 435, subclass 469, for example.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions. Invention I is designed for producing a transformed cereal plant or maize, whereas Invetion II is designed for increasing the transformation efficiency. Invention I does not require any step in Invention II and Invention II have different modes of operation from Invention I. Furthermore, searching inventions I-II together would impose an undue search burden. In the instant case, prior art search for the different steps used in the methods are not coextensive. A search of each of these inventions would require different key word searches of each step of the methods, using divergent patent and non-patent literature databases. The different searches would then

require subsequent in-depth analysis of the unrelated prior art literature, placing a serious burden on the Office in terms of both search and examination.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,826 Page 4

Art Unit: 1638

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li Zheng whose telephone number is 571-272-8031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on 571-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ASHWIN D. MEHTA, PH 15
PRIMARY.EXAMINGE